DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 395 735 RC 020 524

AUTHOR Fishman, Joshua

TITLE Maintaining Languages: What Works? What Doesn't?

Conclusion.

PUB DATE 96

NOTE 14p.; In: Stabilizing Indigenous Languages; see RC

020 517.

PUB TYPE Viewpoints (Opinion/Position Papers, Essays, etc.)

(120) -- Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Activism; American Indian Education; American Indian

Languages; Community Action; *Community Role;

Cultural Maintenance; Elementary Secondary Education;

*Family Role; *Language Acquisition; *Language Maintenance; Language Skill Attrition; Native

Language Instruction; *Native Speakers; *School Role;

Social Change

IDENTIFIERS *Endangered Languages

ABSTRACT

This paper addresses problems facing endangered languages and strategies for maintaining Native languages. The most serious case involves languages whose last fluent speakers are elderly or already gone. Speakers of severely endangered languages no longer constitute speech communities. They cannot interact with other speakers because other speakers are exceedingly few or far between. A strategy for maintaining these languages is to develop an archival collection through recording the spoken language before it is lost entirely. Although some might view an archive as a mausoleum rather than "really living," it is a better alternative than complete extinction. However, perhaps the language can aspire to societal reattachment or even more--to intergenerational transmission as the mother tongue of a vibrant speech community. Revernacularization or native language acquisition is so difficult because it requires informal spontaneous bases, outside any formal institution. Schools are normally programmed and not intergenerational, while mother tongues are intergenerational and not programmed. Revernacularization requires not only intergenerational language transmission, but societal change as well. In order for the old language to be transmitted from parent to child, informal role relationships that have already been established in a new language must come to be implemented in the old language. Every infant acquiring their native language at home must have ample out-of-home experiences for informal use of the language. As well, every student who acquires their native language at school must have ample out-of-school experiences to use that language. To be successful, reversing language shift through intergenerational mother-tongue transmission requires both community and family building. (LP)



^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made * from the original document.

^{********************}

CONCLUSION

What Works? What Doesn't? Maintaining Languages

Joshua Fishman

Pham, Deputy Director of the Office of Bilingual Education and

Minority Languages Affairs, indicated that the United States Government recognizes a special debt of responsibility to assist Native American peoples to foster and strengthen their languages. This second

The last time many of us were assembled at this university Dang

conference at Northern Arizona University was to be a more concrete step in that direction, listening to ideas, perhaps formulating plans that

could benefit from such support, and I am sure that all of you are going to be very alert, just as I am, are going to be very alert, to see if any of

the promises that were made at the first meeting will materialize. It is

an understatement to say that I am pleased and honored to be here. The

opportunity to interact with American Indian languages and their

activists is an experience that very few sociolinguists in the United States have been able to have. The reason old-timers like myself still

come to these meetings is because sometimes we hear a younger colleague saying things that make us understand language maintenance even better than before, let alone finding out what they are doing, which But it will take more than conferences to keep most American

is what we really have to keep up with.

then the languages would not be in the sad condition that most of them are in now because many of them have been exposed to anthropologists and conferences before. If not conferences, what then? Lots of different approaches have been tried. Is there anything that can be learned from these past efforts, not just among American Indians, but all over the

Indian languages from becoming extinct. If all it took was conferences,

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OF A EDUCATION OF BOOMER OF THE STATEMENT OF

This document has been reproduced as received from the person of organization orginating t Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Point, if view or opinions stated in this docu-ment do not necessarily represent official ()E At position or policy

Stabilizing Indigenous Languages

wrong, except that most of the pathology that I am into is he said, "Oh, you must be in speech pathology." He was not too far "Lack of sufficient inter-generational mother-tongue transmission." And colleague, hearing that, said, "Oh, what wo.ks? What doesn't? What disease are you into?" So I looked him straight in the eye and I said, sociolinguistic in nature.

about them, so I am assuming that in the stance of the good teacher, you can stand to hear it again. Sometimes, if you hear it again in other diagnosis, but rather the name of an entire cluster of diseases. If you pulmonary medicine is to pneumonia, or cardio-vascular medicine is to a heart murmur, or rehabilitation medicine is to a fractured pelvis. That is, we have to get down to the specific diagnoses, rather than to talk mother-tongue transmission is not the only and not even the most serious of the diseases of endangered languages. You have already heard the question "What works? What doesn't?" one must specify the disease destabilization is not a specific disease entity, is not a specific like, it is an entire department in the medical school. It is what about the departments as such. Lack of sufficient inter-generational But his general point was very well taken. Before one can answer as precisely as possible. Language endangerment or language words, it becomes clearer in a different way.

to her sister anymore, who was the next-to-last speaker before she recently died. She can not call up anybody. The only person for her to There are languages whose last fluent speakers are already gone or are about to go. At a meeting at Gloricta near Santa Fe, New Mexico, a ew months ago, we had actually the last living speaker of one of the anguages come. It was a very sad experience for everyone, not just for hat woman. And perhaps the saddest thing is that she cannot even talk There are at least two other more serious problems for endangered anguages, more acute than just lack of mother-tongue transmission. talk to is a linguist and that is no fun.

a language. Having the language shrink down to one dialect is itself a great loss because those dialects were different because there were also am talking about you. But there are already communities in your And the loss of a dialect is as much a loss of authenticity as the loss of Those who speak still living but severely endangered languages no longer constitute speech communities. They are scattered in old age homes, in convalescent centers, in the geographically dispersed homes of kin or even of non-kin. They cannot interact with other speakers because other speakers are exceedingly few or exceedingly far between. So the question that could be put is: How can they be saved from you coming from strong languages, particularly Navajo, may not think anguage that are like that. In fact, in many areas, such as Hualapai, those communities speak distinctive dialects that are going to be gone. oblivion? Now I think it is an important thing to ask because those of

> ¹This paper is adapted from the speech given by Dr. Fishman at the second stabilizing indigenous languages symposium on May 4, 1995.

world? A huge proportion, perhaps even the majority, of the world's

languages are faced by the very same problems, and people all over the world have tried the best they could. So what can be learned from all Linguistics Department and my fall and spring days in New York on the campus of the Albert Einstein College of Medicine at Yeshiva University. I told one of my medical colleagues there that I would be alking today on the topic "What works? What doesn't?" So my medical

I spend my summer and winter months at Stanford in the

that experience?

XC 0 2 0 5

other differences. There are never just dialect differences. They go along with differences in customs, and those differences also get lost.

tadequately record might mean audio and video and also producing a could approximate the phonology; we could approximate a good bit of the lexicon or at least the word forming features of the lexicon. I say approximate because by the time that you are down to the last few speakers of the language, you are often not getting the genuine article anymore. It has already changed in the process of attrition. It has changed and is not what it was, even in strictly linguistic terms. Yet you are getting an approximation, approximation of the prosody, of the rhythm of the language, which is actually one of those clusive areas of the beauty of languages that are very quickly lost and very hard to note down and very hard to learn. And we would also get some of the world view, some of the wisdom, some of the folklore, some of the belief Well, an obvious answer might be that if we could at least adequately record the spoken language before it was lost entirely printed record), we could approximate a good bit of the grammar. We system as well.

For languages hovering on the verge of extinction, the answer to the archival collection for Welsh dialects. Welsh is not about to die, but it has terrible problems. But most of its dialects have gone and fortunately they recognized this as long ago as there were automatic recording devices, and they have recordings of now-vanished dialects for the whole century. In fact, their problem now is how to transfer these recordings to new equipment because the equipment in which they recording equipment is no longer available. Not only is the dialect gone, but the recording equipment is also gone. There is no machinery to listen to some of those early tapes. They are now beginning to digitalize these tapes. That will now take many years. But a serious archival collection is an answer to what works for languages about to disappear, and it would not thurt for many of us to realize that maybe we should give some attention to that.

We do not think we are there. We certainly hope we are not there, but the better part of caution is to start working on that because part of it is staying. So the sooner and more completely this is done, the better. Then such archival material can be used to learn the language as a second language, so that even such terribly weakened languages do not have to die entirely. They live in the way museum specimens live. Languages live under glass, too. Now you know that is not really living, but that is the most we can do for some of them. It is an honor that we owe them, to at least do that for them, having abused and neglected them as much as we have.

I am aware of only one language to have been fully revernacularized, to have become fully societally revived from the written

Stabilizing Indigenous Languages

record, namely Hebrew. And only a few more have been revernacularized in some small and atypical clusters of speakers, based upon the record. There are such small clusters of speakers of Sanskrit who raise their children speaking Sanskrit. There are small clusters of speakers of Gee. When I was in Egypt, soon after the Israeli-Egyptian accords were signed, I had the pleasure of being taken around by a Coptic gentleman who was one of a small group that was speaking Coptic to their children. There are also such small revivals from the record for Manx, Cornish, and even Latin. In the Vatican, there are little groups of clergy that have lunch together. "Let's have lunch next Thursday." they say, the Thursday Latin lunch. They have a Latin table at which they sit and have lunch in Latin. However, such very small revivals are not really speech communities. They are what I might call gatherings of hobby ists. Their language is their hobby, and they come together on rare occasions to indulge themselves in it.

Since there are literally thousands of languages in the world that are detached societally, vestigial societally, it is important to realize that this solution, archivization, works in the sense that if started early enough, socially vestigial languages can be saved from total extinction. But the question is whether "that is really living."

the problems of disintegrating languages is that their speech the same time, in speech networks miles away it can still be functioning, even functioning intergenerationally. You should guard against the subjectivity that is involved in proclaiming a language dead; even with respect to medical school problems. The actual definition of when someone is dead is not an open and shut case. And with respect to societal phenomena, it is even less open and shut. Nevertheless, many languages have really died. We may have no record of them, and the who should know better, because they are from that speech area. One of communities and networks are no longer in touch with each other. The fact that it is dead in one place is maybe unfortunately true, whereas at finance this conference if I had a dollar for every time since the beginning of the nineteenth century opponents said that Welsh was dead, Irish was dead, Scots Gaelic was dead, Frisian was dead, Alsatian was dead, Breton was dead, Basque was dead, and Occitan was dead, just Belorussian, and Ainu and so on and so forth in other parts of the world. It is a diagnosis often pronounced prematurely. Even by people Many languages are dead as far as certain beholders are concerned, i.e. some languages are "wished to be dead." This is because they represent cultures that are problematic for their opponents. I could to stay in western Europe, not to go into Soerbian, Yiddish, best that can be done for others is to archive them before it irreparably too late.

So, for some languages the question is, Is an archives a mausoleum or is it really living? Is it "let's pretend living" or is it

"really living"? Well, if the alternative is complete extinction or obliteration, then an archive might be viewed as "really living." That is as close to really living that some languages are going to be. There will be scholars and graduate students, some of them coming from the same background that mausoleum language represents, and they will examine it again. Now that we have audio-recording, they can examine it even better than they could before, if you are wise enough to do the archive as the Welsh did. not just in transcription, but in audio. So, if it is not really living, if you quarrel with that, it might still be heard in the walls of the classroom where it could be taught again or it could be that someone will organize a society for the lovers of Manx. They will get together on alternate Thursdays and they will say some of those words again. That will be as close to living as some languages will get, perhaps.

However, if the alternative for a particular language is not just the mausoleum, perhaps it can aspire to societal re-attachment or even more to inter-generational mother-tongue transmission, not just to societal re-attachment. It may realistically aspire to the inter-generational transmission of that re-attachment, so that it becomes the mother tongue of a vibrant speech community.

I have been collecting what people say about tneir languages. I have now thousands of statements, for hundreds of languages. I remember this one off-hand from Ainu in Japan, the statement is, "We will not go into the museum. We will not be archivized. We can still become pregnant. We can still bear children, And they can still laugh with Ainu on their lips." So, for some languages, a mausoleum would be really premature death, that is killing the patient in front of you. The issue is: Is there really an alternative to the mausoleum?

request from a young scholar in California this week asking 'are their any recordings of just animated natural conversation' in a language that ne is frying to learn, because all he has is language records where the converse in it; they argue; they sing; and they pray, if prayer is counsel; and they feel fully alive in doing so and if you visit them, you can see the pleasure that they have. But their children and grandchildren do not do that. These old folks might not even realize just how endangered their languages are because they speak so freely, because they enjoy so fully. They enjoy their reminiscences and the stories and the anecdotes and the proverbs, and, at times, some of them have new sletters and records and performances that they go to. But they have no younger heirs. In another decade or two or three, their ranks will be so thinned that anyone wondering how it sounded to banter in the language would have difficulty finding an answer. I got an e-mail There are some societies represented in this room where elderly folk permissible in that language. They entertain; they reminiscence; they still enjoy life and they do so largely in their beloved language. They

Stabilizing Indigenous Languages

teacher says the words very slowly and carefully and the other person in the conversation responds in the same fashion. So if you really want to know how it really sounded, you better get it while it is really being spoken, if only as an insurance policy, and do it when informants are plentiful, rather than you only have one left and you have to take whatever that one has.

up to me and saying with pride, "Don't listen to him; listen to me. I am the real last native speaker." Such people might be quite upset to They have their cohorts; they have their hobby group or their club; and those things are age-graded. The things they talk about, the things they sing about, are old-age-graded and no young person is going to get any speakers. So, worry about denial, that is important, and worry about death wish, not only death wishes toward someone else's language, but toward your own, at the end. Reinterpret the fact that older speakers sumetimes do not even seek new ways of re-establishing the intergenerational connection in light of the fact that they can only do the things they have been doing. They can only do the things that they pleasure out of these kinds of conversation. ("What did the doctor tell the last real native speakers. I have had people in old age homes come find out that there is a young speaker or there is still a club of young have been doing all along. That is the only thing they know how to do. Now it has been said by scoffers that languages do not die, they commit suicide. And sometimes this is literally true. Some of them begin to do it far before they have any need to. Some of them do it toward the end. Sometimes they may say they wish there was a younger generation that knew the language, but they do not really do anything about it. At an unconscious level, some of them may even enjoy being you last time you went there?") Those are not young topics.

They find grandparents, who are still speaking endangered languages, for young people who want to learn them, providing two way satisfaction experience of having chucked the language themselves. So, I want to threatened language, that, if I had known grandchildren were so much fun, I would have started with them. It is the biggest lift. They make me think that maybe I will triumph over death when I hear them speaking to me. So, that is the fish. They have to find young people or young people have to find them. Both of those things are important and there are California programs of sharing young people with old people say to our Hualapai speaker who dreads becoming a grandfather, that it could be great fun. I must say, having five grandchildren who speak my they will soon have to fish or cut bait. Fish --- that means to galvanize themselves to work out a joint effort with the generations of their children and grandchildren. Sometimes it is easier with the grandchildren than with the children. The grandchildren, at least, do not have the guilt New ways are needed because, obviously, the old ones have not succeeded. And these communities of old timers, enjoying the language,

and another chance for vernacularizing. Cut bait -begin building oral history and oral cultural archives that will outlive these old timers that are enjoying themselves and will be available for their greatgrandchildren.

only know about the rumor of where the fire burned, where the holy fire way. They only see that as a story. The life is no longer there and the particularly when the two generational hiatus already exists. The language is a lot easier to teach than to build a culture that surrounds direction, the progressive de-vernacularization of the archival variety or Amerindian ones. The only Amerindian one that I have had repeated not enough contact even there. But the inter-generational revernacularization route has turned out to be unexpectedly difficult, grandchildren may be more positive. They have less guilt, but they gratifying and successful inter-generational options when societally intact seniors are still plentiful and available. They can go in one they can go in the inter-generationally re-vernacularizing direction. Most of the languages that I have studied intensively are not contact with, as you have heard, is Navajo over very many years, and So, as far as what works and what does not, there are two possibly and needs and uses that language.

they were learning everything the child was learning and they could go home and talk about those same things that the teacher was talking schools are normally programmed and not inter-generational, and my wife once attended such a school when our child was admitted. My about in the school and do so in the language of the teacher. But intimacy and in infancy. Schools teach and students learn, even inter-generational institutions. I do know of a few schools where it is required that the parents attend the school if the child is to be admitted mother-tongues are inter-generational and not programmed. You see, enough. We are not very good at language teaching because vernaculars informal life. All of his examples about girls and about grandparents were informal, daily life. Vernaculars are acquired in infancy, in the (amily, which means in intimacy. They are handed on that way, in languages sometimes, but schools are programmed and not generally wife did know the language, but most of the parents did not. Therefore, The question is why is re-vernacularization so hard? Much harder than either language teaching or language learning, that are hard are inter-generational on informal, spontaneous bases, outside any ornial institutionalized bases. That is what they are, I listened to what Damon Clarke, the Hualapai, was saying, and he was talking about to the school. But there are very few schools of that kind. Fortunately, they have almost completely opposite constellations of forces.

Why is breaking through to this crucial stage of inter-generational intimacy and informality so hard for any large number of people? I know thousands of people who have decided to do it. So, "will" is very

Stabilizing Indigenous Languages

organize for languages of school; we can organize for languages of church; we can organize for languages of government; we can organize institutions did not help it to become a mother-tongue. It took a group who said, "We don't want that formal institution. We don't want it at all." They, therefore, broke away. It was a break-away group. Right, they were secessionists. They broke away from society and created a institutionalized languages, languages of formal institutions? We can for languages of the upper-work sphere. Yet none of the foregoing result in informal, inter-generational mother-tongue transmission. All those thousands of years that Hebrew was transmitted through formal important. But it often is just not enough. Why can not we organize for society of their own. It is very hard to do that.

topics and places already associated with the new language must come to be associated with the old language, if it is to be transmitted via too, for them to transmit it to a newborn as a mother tongue. Informal That is, informal society must change its way of living during the long ong, from one generation to the next. Informal role relationships already established in a new language must come to be implemented in the old language, in order for the old language to be transmitted from parents to children. Parents are already talking the new language; they vernacularization requires not only inter-generational language transmission, but societal change. More than a language involved. If stretch from one generation to the next. Schools do not stretch that have to change themselves, and they need a society that is changing, Vernacularization is the opposite of institutionalization. Reyou are going to change the language, you have to change the society. intimacy and in infancy.

school and after-school informal interlocutors, places, and topics to see cenacularization requires changes in established informal conventions and their reinforcement from various directions, from status-gain, from friendship-gain, from affection-gain. All of these are sources of support all the way through to the time when he or she becomes a parent. Every nin or her through to his or her own child-bearing stage. Rehome interlocutors, topics, and places for informal use of the language student, and I think this may shake many of you in this room, acquiring the beloved language in school must have ample out-oftheir face. No one does it because they are masochists and they are just looking for something that is going to hurt. That is not why people change their way of living. There has to be something that they are gaining, that they believe they are gaining, something that means so much to them that it is a worthwhile gain to them. Every infant acquiring the beloved old language at home must have ample out-of-There must be consensual advantages for changing from the new ways to the old ways. No one changes to cut off their nose to spite

こつ

that endangered languages (and institutionalized languages) typically lack.

Now I want to make it clear: I do not say that we can do without institutions such as schools, churches, or other agencies. But languages can become institutionalized and remain only within the institutions that teach them and espouse them and use them. Institutions, although important, should be on tap and not on top of a language. The language does not belong to them. The language makes use of them. Above all, these institutions should foster the language as links with the <u>outside</u> world, with the <u>informal</u> interactions that constitute the bulk of life, the crux of inter-generational mother-tongue transmission. And that is why it is hard to break through. That is why a revolution is required. That is why those very folks who broke away from the book of the church, the Jewish book of the Jewish "church," led the way to re-vernacularize Hebrew. They were social revolutionaries.

who is going to devote their lives to them any more. It will be an revolution is required so that not one or two institutions support the beloxed language. It is crucial informal relationships that constitute the crucial informal relationships that constitute the lion's share of normal daily life. These relations are the ones that bring you back into intergenerational mother-tongue transmission and give the beloved language the support it needs. Can this be done? Is such a revolution possible? Can people change their daily life by planning together to do so? Well, Thave both good news and bad news for you. The good news is that my experience with thirteen in-depth cases, that I have devoted about a quarter of a century to, tells me that it 18 possible for small groups of quite atypical individuals to re-arrange their lives individually and collectively exactly in this revolutionary way. The more dislocated the language is, the smaller those groups will be. A language that is far gone requires a great deal of idiosyncratic support. It is hard to predict exceedingly small group. This is one of those cases that "To them that have shall be given, and to them that have not, shall be taken away." The smaller the group, the harder it is for them to find even a small This is something the Irish revivalists learned late and to their chagrin in having banked on the school and on the Minister of Finance to do the job. Neither of them together, and they were not always pulling together, could do it for most of the children growing up. There is a catch 22 here that I am sure you have noticed. Endangered transmission and informal daily life support, but, in order to cease being endangered, they need exactly what they do not have and cannot easily get. To move from being have nots to being haves, a societal lion's share of normal daily life (listen again to the Hualapai speaker), languages become such because they lack informal inter-generational

Stabilizing Indigenous Languages

handful of people that will really rearrange their lives on their behalf. In language as in business, nothing succeeds like success.

What do they do, these small groups of totally dedicated individuals who rearrange their lives, not for the language, but for the lifestyle, the lifestyle that the language is related to? First of all, they depend primarily on themselves and on each other, rather than on outside support comes from people that are the using the language. They have nothing to gain from helping you use the language and, therefore, if they do support you at sometime, they are not going to be there when you need them, down the road. So these folks depend primarily on themselves and on each other. They start with feasible goals and their immediate informal or local lower-level formal environment, with the kind of school they can support, the kind of school they can run, the kind of school they can control, and also other environments that they can control. They will win friends and influence people by offering them valuable rewards, services, and co-opting them informally as well.

I have here this little book titled Social Work and the Welsh Language. Every page is in both languages, not every other page, but every page is in both languages, so all you have to do for any word you do not know is to go across the line to find it in English. And what is the book Social Work and the Welsh Language about? It is about using Welsh in job training, job retraining, health counseling, literacy efforts, school transitioning, helping kids go from elementary to high school, bereavement counseling, building happy peer group ties, and vocational planning. In other words, Welsh language activists offer these services to the community.

occur is worth more than tons of sage advice. It is better and easier to foster informal life when it still exists. It is the hardest thing to create afterwards. It is very hard to create, to program that which is essentially before inter-generational mother-tongue transmission has ceased to not programmed or programmable. At best, you can program situations experiences that include the young and the very young, together with family, friendship, lower level formal institutions, and, above all, they do not wait too long to get started on all of the above. An early start They will help you with your problem, but you may have to join a little peer group that is meeting afternoons to have lunch in Welsh. It may be worth it to you, to get help with job or with school transitioning, or with physical recovery after an illness. So they win friends and influence people by offering them valuable rewards and services, mostly at the inter-personal level and co-opting these people informally as they go along. They concentrate on inter-generational the older. They focus on those functions that they can fully control hat might facilitate it.

tree, you will not live to bark many other years. If you bet on things that do not lead to inter-generational riother-tongue transmission, but there are imponderables and you have to just hope on the flip of a coin you come out the right way. There is nothing you can do about some of going in favor of those people who are on top anyway. That means vou do not have much latitude for mistakes. If you bark up the wrong rather lead to nice graduation parties from school, then you have lost contextual circumstances, like "Are you fighting English or are you fighting Frisian?" I once went and visited a Frisian area and they brought in a small group that are surrounded by Frisians. They thought Frisians were the enemy. It makes a difference whether you are fighting English, Dutch, or Frisian because all those languages differ greatly in what they have to offer to those who will totally join their ranks. So of those things, but, as you know, imponderable advantages have a way depends." You know that. It all depends on what the degree of problem. It depends on the resources available, particularly man-power does not" sounds like a simple question. But it is really a most difficult endangerment is because the solution of what works varies with the resources to make things work. It depends on imponderable, historical, dedication. They become a gemeinschaft rather than a gesellschaft, if you know those German sociological terms. So "what works, what question. As in most complex societal areas, the answer is, "It all again and again, become a community of hope, a comnunity of One thing we can be sure of, those who do not give up, but 'ry another go at it

Many of you know about the famous Dr. Samuel Johnson, an English lexicographer and conversationalist of the eighteenth century. He had a habit in his dictionary of giving highly personal word definitions. To illustrate the word "focus", which was a new word in English at the time, borrowed from French, he gave the following sentence: "Nothing focuses the mind like an imminent hanging. One's [own] even more so than anothers." All right. I am going to give you a quotation from Dr. Samuel Johnson who defined "lost cause." He said. "A lost cause is a cause whose adherents permit hope to take precedence over experience."

And what we have to ask ourselves, "Is reversing language shift a flost cause?" Well, perhaps it is. But all of life is a lost cause. We are all sitting and dying right in this room, except you feel it more than I do because I am talking and you are listening. All of life is a lost cause. We all know the road leads only downward into the grave. There is no other way it will go. Those that have hope at least share the benefits of hope, and one of those benefits is community. Reversing language shift efforts on behalf of the inter-generational mother-tongue transmission is community building, that is what is essentially required, in and through the beloved language. So, what have they accomplished, those Irish

Stabilizing Indigenous Languages

imagine, in seventy-five years of work, which is longer than most of you have worked on this problem by a long shot, they have gone from a time when five percent of the Irish population was Irish mothertongue to a time when three percent is Irish mother-tongue. After having tried everything that you are ever likely to think of. But, by this time, two-thirds of the population understands Irish, which was not the case at that earlier time. Two-thirds of it have been strongly influenced by all these things that the revivalists did, even though few of them ever actually speak the language. Irish would be in even worse condition had the revivalists not done all they did.

The Irish revivalists have voluntary neighborhoods in which all community services and all community informal life is in Irish. They are in a constant outreach effort (through clubs, camps, vacatue, pots, and teams) toward the appreciation and understanding of the Irish language. And that is why there are two-thirds of them now in the country who when they go to France and do not want to be mistaken for an Englishman, talk Irish to each other in a Paris cale, even though they do not do it when they get back to Dublin. They could, but they do not. Their life has not changed that way. So, can anyone doubt that Irish today would be dead as a vernacular had it not been for the insistence of the stubborn revivalists that they wanted it for themselves and their children, regardless of what other Irish folks say, regardless of what other Irish folks do.

I want to say in closing that a huge proportion of my quotations in my new book deal with sanctity, that is with the sense that there is something holy about the language. It may be sanctity itself or sanctity once removed, sanctity by translation. The holy script was translated into this language of mine. Or I just feel God through that language because it brings me closer to the spirit and the soul and life as well as life after death. So, underlying all of this there must be a life-style in which there is a sense of the sanctity of custom and tradition. The ultimate source of all societal dedication is a feeling that one is dealing with something that is out of the ordinary, hum-drum experience.

As one who is the child of two language activists and the father and grandfather of language activists. I am sure that the lives of four generations have been enriched and even ennobled by the struggle. Our language is still endangered, but it would be infinitely more so without our struggle. Archives have been built for this language, nice mausoleums, but we activists decided that we were going to live in it. The prophetic reading for this week, for the lection of this week in Jewish Orthodox houses of worship, includes the following: "The days are coming when the plowman will be overtaken by the reaper." The imagery here is that the wheat will grow so fast that the reaper who is cutting the wheat will catch up with the plowman who is putting in the

new seeds. "And the planters [will be overtaken] by the ones treading the grapes, new wine will drip from the mountains and from all the hills, they will plant new vineyards and drink their wine. They will make gardens and eat their fruits." So, here is my parting sentences: Do not give up; but do not get your priorities wrong, because you do not get many chances in this game. And above all remember that living languages are not primarily in institutions, but above them, beyond them, all around them.

